EFFECT OF CHEMICAL AND BIO FERTILIZATION ON THE GROWTH AND L-ASCORBIC ACID CONTENT OF *MORINGA OLEIFERA* L. PLANTS

Ragia M. Mazrou and H.Z. El - Sebay Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia Univ., Shibin El-Kom

Received: Mar. 17, 2019

Accepted: Mar. 31, 2019

ABSTRACT: Two field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Farm of Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University during two successive seasons 2016 and 2017 to evaluate the effect of different N_2 -fixing bacterial strains such as Azospirillium lipoferum, Azotobacter chroococcum and Bacillus polymyxa individually or in combination with urea fertilization rates (0.0, 50, 100 and 200 kg/fed/season). The data reveals that the treated plants with Azotobacter chroococcum gave the highest values of plant height and mean number of branches / plant and consequently heavier fresh weight of plant in addition, L- ascorbic acid content followed by Bacillus polymyxa in the three cuts in both growing seasons. In addition, the vegetative growth parameters as well as L-ascorbic acid gradually increased with increasing urea up to 100 kg/fed/season in the two experimental seasons. Furthermore, the application of Azotobacter chroococcum plus the moderate level of urea (100 kg/fed/season) gave the best values in terms of vegetative growth parameters as well as L-ascorbic acid in comparison with the other interaction treatments and the control in the two experimental seasons.

Key words: Moringa oleifera L., N₂-fixing bacteria, urea fertilizer

INTRODUCTION

Moringa (Moringa oleifera Lam.) tree is the most widely cultivated species of a monogeneric family, the Moringaceae, which is native to the sub-Himalayan tracts of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh and Afghanistan. (Fahey, 2005). Moringa oleifera L. has gained much importance in the recent days due to its multiple used and benefits to agriculture and industry. It is considered as one of the World's most useful trees, as almost every part of the moringa tree can be used for food, medication and industrial purposes (Ashfaq et al., 2012). Currently, a real challenge for the workers in the field of agricultural research is to stop the use of expensive agrochemicals/chemical fertilizers which negatively affect the environment as well as human health and also results in decrease of soil fertility. Chemical fertilizers are used to replenish soil N, in large quantities, they are highly costly and contaminate environment severely. 2004). Therefore, the (Dai et al., application of bio fertilizers in agriculture has become of great necessity nowadays for not only in order to reduce the cost of chemical fertilizers but also to decrease the adverse effects of chemical fertilizers on soil and plant environment and to ensure more crops productivity in addition to get high quality products free of harmful agrochemicals for human safety. Verma and Hock (1995). In this concern, Dash and Gupta (2009) on Moringa oleifera L.and Aryeb and Youssef (2013) Pinus halepensis L. reported that the application of different biofertilization separately or in combinations with suitable doses from chemical fertilization enhanced plant growth and improved its chemical constituents. Similar results were reported by Fagbenro et al. (2013) and Darwish (2015) on Moringa oleifera L.

Accordingly, the present investigation was conducted for studying the effect of inoculating the growing media of *Moringa oleifera* L. plants with different N_2 fixing bacterial strains separately or in combination with different urea fertilization doses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during the two successive growing seasons of 2016 and 2017 at the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University to evaluate the effect of both different doses of urea fertilizer (46% N) and different N₂fixing bacterial strains as bio-fertilizers separately or in-combinations on the growth characters, herb yield and chemical constituents of *Moringa oleifera* L. plants.

The experimental soil was clay loamy and its physical and chemical properties are presented in Table (1 a and b).

The seeds were sown in seed beds on the 1st of March in each season and were held in a greenhouse until transplanting date. The soil was carefully prepared and divided into plots 1×2 m and each plot contained three lines as replicates at 50 cm between the lines and each line contained 5 plants. The seedlings were transplanted at the Experimental Farm on the 5th of April in both seasons (about 8-10 cm-height) at a distance of 20 cm between plants. During soil preparation, the recommended doses from calcium super phosphate of 150 kg/ fed (15.5% P₂O₅) and 100 kg/fed potassium sulphate (48% K₂O) were added in each growing season. The treatments were arranged in a complete randomized block design including 16 treatments with three replicates for each.

Source of N-chemical fertilizer:

Nitrogen fertilizer was used in the form of urea (46% N) at levels of 0 (N₀), 50 (N₁), 100 (N₂) and 200 (N₃) kg / fed / season. The N-fertilization doses were added in three equal side dressings during the growing period. The first addition was after two weeks from transplanting meanwhile, the second and the third doses were added after two weeks from the first and the second cuts, respectively in both growing seasons.

		p: - p -:				
Clay %	Silt %	Fine sand %	Coarse sand %	Organic matter %	Water field capacity %	Texture grade
23.20	44.23	27.40	3.84	2.80	38.80	Clay loamy

(Table 1 a): Physical properties of the experimental soil.

(Table b): Chemical properties of the experimental soil.

Total	Total	Total	C.E.C	E.C		Sol	uble ion	s mg/1()0g
P2O5 %	N %	CaCO3 %	mg/100 g	min/hos/cm at 25°C	рН	Ca++	Mg++	Na+	K+
0.26	0.12	2.32	25.60	0.40	7.90	0.42	0.68	0.62	0.12

Source of biofertilizers:

The active strains of Azospirillum lipoferum, Azotobacter chroococcum and Bacillus polymixa, which were obtained from Agricultural Microbiology Research Department, Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. The bacterial strains were used in current study as biofertilizer treatment. The grown plants were inoculated three times with 300 mL from each bacterial strain added with irrigation water. The first inoculation was applied one week after transplanting while the second and the third inoculations were applied one week after the first and the second harvesting cut, respectively.

The treatments of bio and N- chemical fertilization could be arranged as follows:

- 1- Control without bio (B_0) or urea fertilization (N_0) .
- 2- Inoculation with Azospirillum lipoferum (B1).
- 3- Inoculation with Azotobacter chroococcum (B₂).
- 4- Inoculation with Bacillus polymyxa (B₃)
- 5- Urea fertilization at 50 kg / fed (N_1) without bio fertilization (B_0) .
- 6- Urea fertilization at 100 kg / fed (N_2) without bio fertilization (B_0) .
- 7-Urea fertilization at 200 kg / fed (N_3) without bio fertilization (B_0) .
- 8- Inoculation with Azospirillum lipoferum (B1) + 50 kg / urea / fed (N_1).
- 9- Inoculation with Azospirillum lipoferum (B1) + 100 kg / urea/ fed (N₂).
- 10- Inoculation with Azospirillum lipoferum (B1) + 200 kg/urea/ fed (N₃).
- 11- Inoculation with Azotobacter chroococcum (B_2) + 50kg /urea/fed (N_1).
- 12- Inoculation with Azotobacter chroococcum (B₂) +100kg/urea/fed (N₂).
- 13- Inoculation with Azotobacter chroococcum (B₂)+200kg/urea/fed (N₃).
- 14- Inoculation with *Bacillus polymyxa* (B_3) +50kg/urea/fed (N_1) .

- 15- Inoculation with *Bacillus polymyxa* (B₃) +100kg/urea/fed (N₂).
- 16- Inoculation with *Bacillus polymyxa* (B₃) +200kg/urea/fed (N₃).

Harvesting:

The plants were cut three times during the growing season. In each harvest, the plants were cut leaving about 15 cm above the soil surface. The first cut was done on July 15th. Mean while, the second and the third cuts were occurred on September 22nd and November 15th, respectively in both seasons.

1. Vegetative growth characters:

For each cut the following data were recorded:

- 1- Plant height (cm).
- 2- Mean number of main branches / plant.
- 3- Total fresh weight (g /plant).
- 4- Total fresh weight of plant (ton /fed /season).
- 2. The determination of chemical constituents:

L-ascorbic acid content (mg /100g fresh leaves) was determined according to the methods described by (A.O.A.C. 1990).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Vegetative growth parameters: 1. Plant height:

1.1. Effect of bio fertilization:

The data in Table (2) show that the plant height significantly increased as a result of inoculation with the different kinds of microbial strains in comparison with uninoculated plants in the three cuts in the two experimental seasons. The tallest plants were produced bv Azotobacter chrocoocum in comparison with other biofertilization treatments and control plants which produced the shortest plants on the three cuts in both seasons.

ble (2). Effect of bio-and urea fertilization and their interaction on plant height (cm) of <i>Moring</i> a oleifera L. during the growing seasons of 2016 and 2017.	bio-and nd 201	d urea fe 7.	ertilizati	on and	their inte	raction	on plan	itheight	(cm) of	f Moring	a oleifer	a L. dur	ingthe	growing	j seasons	
arvesting cuts		Ē	The first	cut			The	The second cut	cut			f	The third cut	crit		
N.Fert. io.Fert.	ů	N	N2	°N°	N ₃ Mean	٩	۱۹	N2	N₃	N ₃ Mean	٩	N,	N2	N _s	Mean	
							Firet	Firet sesson (2046)	120461							

Harvesting cuts		Ŧ	The first cut	cut			The	The second cut	d cut			Ē	The third cut	cut	
-	N,	N1	N2	N₃	Mean	No	N1	N2	N₃	Mean	N。	N1	N2	N₃	Mean
							First	First season (2016)	(2016)						
	24.77	124.77 145.55 155.		00 150.11	143.86	143.89	156.22	182.33	143.89 156.22 182.33 180.22	165.67	56.83	79.89	91.89	86.44	78.76
· · · ·	38.55	138.55 147.22	162.11	155.89	150.94		160.33	146.56 160.33 201.44 199.89	199.89	177.06	59.33	83.33	103.44	87.56	83.42
	48.44	148.44 176.77	199.11	172.25	174.14	165.78	165.78 180.78	226.33	199.27	193.04	82.17	92.22	121.56	113.56	102.38
_	42.89	142.89 153.11	172.88	167.99	159.22	153.89	153.89 168.33	206.66	193.22	180.53	72.67	86.67	107.67	100.78	91.95
	38.66	138.66 155.66	172.	28 161.56	•	152.53	166.42	152.53 166.42 204.19	193.15	•	67.75	85.53	106.14	97.09	
							Secon	d seaso	Second season (2017)						
	102.89	102.89 114.67	125.33	125.33 123.22	116.53		134.22	160.11	124.78 134.22 160.11 152.11 142.81	142.81	54.06	70.00	86.78	82.22	73.27
	105.11	105.11 119.33	134.(00 132.55	122.75		138.78	129.17 138.78 169.67	163.22	150.21	61.11	76.47	00'06	86.56	78.46
	124.55	124.55 140.66 162.7		8 159.11	146.78	150.67	163.44	150.67 163.44 197.44	184.33	173.97	80.11	95.33	112.44	98.62	96.63
	16.56	116.56 129.67	148.33	137.55	133.03	142.94	142.94 150.11	187.89	172.22	163.29	67.55	79.78	94.00	91.89	83.31
	12.28	112.28 126.08	142.61	138.11	•	136.89	146.64	136.89 146.64 178.78	167.97	•	65.71	80.32	95.81	89.82	•
			F	le first s	The first season 2016	016				Ē	le secol	The second season 2017	son 201	1	
		1 ^{et} cut		2"	2 nd cut		3 rd cut	+	1 ^{et} cut	at l		2 nd cut		3 rd cut	it
						_		_					_		

4.44 4.44 8.8

8.38 8.38

9.34

16.76

9.34 18.68

15.88

9.67 19.34

21.02 10.51

> Interaction(B. ×N.) N-fertilization (N.)

9.67

10.51

Bio (B.)

7.94

The increment of plant height as a result of using the different N_2 -fixing bacterial strains could be explained through their effect in providing moringa plants with nitrogen required for protein and cytokinins synthesis and consequently enhancing cell division. (Wagner and Michael, 1971). These results agreed with those obtained by Dash and Gupta (2009) and Zayed (2012) on *Moringa oleifera* L.

1.2. Effect of urea fertilization:

As far the data in Table (2) observed that the plant height gradually increased with increasing urea fertilization level up to 100 kg /fed /season. Otherwise, the application of highest urea dose (200 kg / fed /season) caused a reduction in this concern in the two growing seasons. On the other hand, the significantly lowest values in this concern were obtained by the plants grown without urea addition.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by El-sayed and Abdel wahab (2018) on *Moringa oleifera* L.

1.3. Effect of interaction between bioand urea fertilization levels:

The data in Table (3) indicate that the plant height of moringa plants increased as a result of the application of the different combinations treatments between bio fertilization and the different rates of urea fertilization when compared to control plants. The best results in this respect were realized by the application of Azotobacter or Bacillus + the moderate urea fertilization level (N_2) in the different cuts of both seasons.

These results are similar to those obtained by Dash and Gupta (2009), El-Baha *et al.* (2016) and Balakumbahan *et al.* (2018) on *Moringa oleifera* L.

Mean number of branches/plant: 2.1. Effect of bio fertilization:

The data in Table (3) showed clearly that the mean number of branches / plant

was significantly augmented in the most cases as a result of inoculating the growing media of moringa with bio fertilizers in comparison with the control plants in the two experimental seasons. The treatment of Azotobacter chroococum resulted in the highest values of the mean number of branches/plant followed by the treatments of using Azospirillum lipoferum and Bacillus polymyxa, respectively.

The effective role of the application of bio fertilization in increasing the mean number of branches / plant could be attributed to the role of the applied N_2 -fixing bacteria in producing endogenous phytohormones such as GA₃, IAA and Cytokinins and consequently stimulating cell division and building more vascular tissues Youssef *et al.* (2004).

These results are in accordance with those obtained by Zayed (2012) on *Moringa oleifera* L. and Soliman *et al.* (2015) on *Delonix regia* L.

2.2. Effect of urea fertilization:

The data in Table (3) revealed that the mean number of branches / plant significantly increased with increasing urea fertilization level up to 100 kg / fed in both growing seasons. Whereas the addition of the highest urea level (200 kg / fed) caused a reduction in this respect in the three cuts during two growing seasons.

These results are in harmony with the findings of Badran *et al.* (2016) and Sarwar *et al.* (2017) on *Moringa oleifera* L.

2.3. Effect of interaction between bioand urea fertilization levels:

Regarding the effect of the combinations treatments between bio fertilization and the different applied urea rates it could be observed that the mean number of branches / plant markedly improved as a result of the interaction between bio fertilization and the urea

Table (3). Effect of bio-and urea fertilization and their interaction on the mean number of branches the arowing seasons of 2016 and 2017.	/ plant of Moringa oleifera L. during	
-	Table (3). Effect of bio-and urea fertilization and their interaction on the mean number of branches	the growing seasons of 2016 and 2017.

I															Г			
		Mean		1.53	1.72	2.31	1.94	•		1.28	1.56	2.03	1.78				ut	
	cut	Nª		1.67	1.89	2.55	2.11	2.06		1.44	1.89	1.89	2.00	1.81			3rd cut	.20
	The third cut	N2		1.89	2.11	3.00	2.44	2.36		1.56	2.00	2.78	2.11	2.11		n 2017		
	The	Ň		1.55	1.67	1.89	1.78	1.72		1.22	1.33	1.78	1.67	1.50		d seaso	2nd cut	.33
		ů		1.00	1.22	1.78	1.44	1.36		0.89	1.00	1.67	1.33	1.22		The second season 2017	2r	
		Mean		2.19	2.39	2.97	2.61	•		1.89	2.11	2.46	2.28			Ť	ut	
	cut	N ₃	2016)	2.33	2.55	2.89	2.89	2.67	(2017)	2.10	2.33	2.30	2.44	2.29			1st cut	.40
	The second cut	N2	First season (2016)	2.66	2.88	3.67	3.00	3.05	season (2017	2.11	2.55	3.22	2.77	2.66				
	The	N	First s	2.00	2.22	2.77	2.44	2.36	Second	1.78	1.89	2.33	2.00	2.00			3rd cut	.29
		No		1.77	1.89	2.55	2.11	2.08		1.56	1.67	2.00	1.89	1.78		016		
		Mean		1.75	1.97	2.50	2.16	•		1.50	1.72	2.25	2.03			rst season 2016	2nd cut	35
/LN7 DU	ut	ů		1.99	2.11	2.55	2.33	2.25		1.77	2.10	2.11	2.00	2.00		The first s	2nc	
2010 3	The first cut	N2		2.11	2.44	3.33	2.66	2.64		1.89	2.22	3.00	2.55	2.42		Ē	t	
sons of	Ţ	N,		1.66	1.88	2.11	2.00	1.91		1.33	1.44	2.00	1.89	1.67			1st cut	.27
ng sea		No		1.22	1.44	1.99	1.66	1.58		1.00	1.11	1.89	1.67	1.42				7
the growing seasons of 2016 and 2017.	Harvesting cuts	N.Fert. Bio.Fert.		ß	B1	B ₂	B	Mean		B	B	B ₂	B3	Mean		LSD at 5%		Bio-fertilization (B.)

8 9

8. 8

6

23

.35

:54

N.fertilization (N.) Interaction (B.×N.)

2

8

Ragia M. Mazrou and H.Z. El - Sebay

fertilization levels in comparison with the control in the three cuts in the two experimental seasons. The highest number of main branches obtained from combination treatment between *Azotobacter chroococcum* plus urea at medium level when compared with the other interaction treatments between bio and urea fertilization levels in the three cuts of the two growing seasons.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abdou *et al.* (2016) and Balakumbahan *et al.* (2018) on *Moringa oleifera* L.

3. The total fresh yield / plant 3.1. Effect of bio fertilization

The data in Table (4) clearly indicated that the mean of total fresh weight /plant /cut increased as a result of using different biofertilizer strains in comparison with the control without inoculation in the three harvesting cuts of each experimental season. The treatment of inoculation with Azotobacter resulted in the best results in this concern in comparison with other biofertilizers and control in the three cuts in both season.

These results are in close agreement with those reported by Faramawy (2014) *Prosopis chilensis* L.and Soliman *et al.* (2015) on *Delonix regia* L.

3.2. Effect of urea fertilization:

From the data recorded in Table (4) it could be noticed that that the application of different doses of urea increased the values of the mean of total fresh weight /plant /cut than untreated plants in the three cuts during the two growing seasons. The heaviest fresh weight / plant was obtained by using the moderate doses of urea than those of the highest and lowest urea level and the control plants in both seasons.

The positive effect of using chemical N- fertilization was stated by Matallawa (2012) on *Moringa oleifera* L.

3.3. Effect of interaction between bioand urea fertilization levels:

It is clear from the data in Table (5) that application of the different urea fertilization levels individually or in combination with the different N_2 -fixing bacterial strains gave heavier fresh weight / plant / cut than the untreated plants during both growing seasons. Fertilization with Azotobacter chroococcum + medium urea fertilization level resulted in the best results in this respect in both experimental seasons.

These results are in accordance with those obtained by El-Baha *et al.* (2016) and Ganaw (2017) on *Moringa oleifera* L.

L-ascorbic acid content (mg /100g fresh leaves):

As far the data in Table (5) that Lascorbic acid content in the leaves clearly improved as a result of the application of either biofertilization untreated plants in the two growing seasons. The relatively higher ascorbic acid content was obtained by inoculation with Azotobacter chroococcum followed by Bacillus polymyxa or Azospirillum lipoferum during the two growing seasons. These results could be supported by the findings of Zayed (2012) on Moringa oleifera L.

Concerning the effect of using urea it could be noticed that the best results this respect were obtained by providing the plants with the moderate rate (100 kg / fed / season) of urea fertilization Table (5). These results are in accordance with those obtained by Dania et al. (2014) and Abdel-Hamid (2015) on Moringa oleifera L. Furthermore, the data in Table (4) indicate that L-ascorbic acid content was considerably increased through the synergistic effect between bio-and urea fertilization compared with the control without bio or urea fertilization in the three cuts of the two growing seasons. The highest values in this respect were

Harvesting cuts The firs	I	F	The first cut	st cut			Ť	The second cut	cut			Ę	The third cut	cut	
N.Fert. Bio.Fert.	ů	N,	N2	ž	Mean	ů	N,	N2	ů	Mean	ů	Z,	N2	°,	Mean
							First	First season (2016)	2016)						
8	197.44	297.66	197.44 297.66 344.56 319.82	319.82	289.87	222.08 314.92	314.92	406.91	359.98	325.97	77.84	96.43	221.35	187.57	145.80
B	204.11	309.40	204.11 309.40 409.40 351.56	351.56	318.62	226.50 331.20	331.20	435.87	419.09	353.17	82.19	100.22	215.11	195.75	148.32
B2	231.88	329.02	231.88 329.02 939.22 858.15	858.15	589.57	266.98	374.99	1118.57	896.48	664.26	106.94	203.86 316.57	316.57	305.62	233.25
B,	220.88	220.88 320.05 567.6	567.69	9 376.55	371.29	371.29 251.77 346.86	346.86	653.24	597.87	462.44	92.77	150.27	284.60	150.27 284.60 241.40	192.26
Mean 2	213.58	314.03	213.58 314.03 565.22 476.52	476.52	•	241.83	241.83 341.99	653.65	568.36	•	89.94	137.70	137.70 259.41	232.59	•
°a 1	158.30	158.30 218.06	338.9	327.66	260.73	192.59 314.04	314.04	417.62	401.00	331.31	70.87	92.03	165.83	188.93	129.42
ą	166.46	166.46 248.60 454.0	454.05	5 377.07	311.55	311.55 202.08 378.69	378.69	530.33	435.07	435.07 386.54	77.56	89.62	218.52	191.44	144.29
B2	200.44	380.23	200.44 380.23 716.64 648.42	648.42	486.43	214.77	478.02	747.06	591.84	591.84 507.92	95.76	176.17	297.85	256.47	206.56
В	185.23	347.33	185.23 347.33 464.57 404.53	404.53	350.42	193.40	423.20	514.11	478.39	402.28	85.65	153.41	246.53	227.14	178.18
Mean 1	177.61	298.56	298.56 493.54 439.42	439.42	•	200.71	398.49	552.28	476.58	•	82.46	127.81	232.18	216.00	•
LSD at 5%			F	he first	The first season 2016	2016				Ť	The second season 2017	nd seas	on 2017		
		1 ^{ct} cut	It		2 nd cut		3 rd cut	L.	1 ^{ct} cut	ut	2	2 nd cut		3 rd cut	rt.
Bio-fertilization(B.)	•	53.31	2		75.92		11.73	~	44.75	75		71.06		6.17	
N-fertilization (N.)		53.31	2		75.92		11.73	~	44.75	15		71.06		6.17	
Interaction (B. ×N.)	-	106.62	22	Ĩ	151.84		23.46		89.50	8	-	142.12		12.34	4

Ragia M. Mazrou and H.Z. El - Sebay

oleifera L. plants during the growing seasons of 2016 and 2017.	L. plant	s durin	ig the g	rowing	seasons	of 2016	and 20	17.			R				5
Harvesting cuts		F	The first cut	cut			The	The second cut	cut			Ť	The third cut	cut	
N.Fert. Bio.Fert.	Ň	N	N2	Ň	Mean	Ň	N	N2	N3	Mean	ů	N	N2	°,	Mean
							First s	First season (2016)	2016)						
B	200	185	202	198	196.25	190	190	215	230	206.25	175	190	203	185	188.25
B	185	198	233	220	209.00	195	225	220	220	215.00	201	198	223	180	200.50
B ₂	225	240	230	205	225.00	230	220	255	212	229.25	183	228	230	246	221.75
B	204	214	210	240	217.00	190	225	235	240	222,50	215	215	218	213	215.25
Mean	203.50	203.50 209.25 218	.75	215.75		201.25	215.00	201.25 215.00 231.25 225.50	225.50	•	193.50	193.50 207.75	218.50 206.00	206.00	
							Second	Second season (2017	1 (2017)						
B	185	204	220	215	206.00	192	212	229	225	214.50	180	195	207	205	196.75
B1	193	213	226	223	213.75	200	220	235	230	221.25	189	203	213	210	203.75
B2	219	228	244	238	232.25	225	238	254	245	240.50	210	215	232	219	219.00
B	212	223	236	230	225.25	218	230	241	240	232.25	200	210	222	217	212.25
Mean	202.25	202.25 217.00 231	231.50	.50 226.50	•	208.75	225.00	208.75 225.00 239.75 235.00	235.00	•	194.75	194.75 205.75 218.50 212.75	218.50	212.75	•

obtained by the treatment of interaction between *Azotobacter chroococcum* and (N_2) in comparison with the other interaction treatments and the control in the three cuts of both growing seasons.

Such results could be supported by the findings of Darwish (2015) on *Moringa oleifera* L.

CONCLUSION

From the aforementioned results it could be concluded that the application of biofertilization, especially inoculation of the growing media of moringa plant with *Azotobacter chroococcum* and using urea fertilization dose at 100 kg / fed/season realized the best growth and L-ascorbic acid content in fresh leaves when compared with the other fertilization treatments and the control.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Hamid, A.N. (2015). Effect of planting distance and mineral fertilization on growth, yield and active ingredients of moringa plant.
 M.SC. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Abdou, M.A.H., A.A. El-Sayed, R.A. Taha and S.E.A. Mosaad (2016). Response of *Moringa oleifera* L.to organic biofertilizers and mineral NPK fertilizations. Sci.J. Flowers, Orname. Plants, 3(1):19-29.
- A.O.A.C. (1990). Official Methods of analysis. The Association of Official Analytical Cemist. Arlington, West verginia, USA, 15th Ed. Washington, D.C.
- Aryeb, H.A. and Hanan M. Youssef (2013). Effect of some bio and chemical fertilizers on growth and chemical composition of growth and chemical composition of *Pinus halepensis MIL* L.seedlings. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 91(4).
- Ashfaq, M., S.M.A. Basra and U. Ashfaq (2012). Moringa: A miracle plant for

agro-forestry. J. Agric. Soc. Sci., 8 (3):115 –122.

- Badran, F.S., R.A. Taha, E.A. El.Ghadban and M.E. Ali (2016). Effect of irrigation intervals and organic / mineral fertilization treatments on vegetative, growth and chemical composition of *Moringa oleifera* L.plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Hort. Dept., Fac.of Agric., Minia. Univ.
- Balakumbahan, R., V. Viji, V.
 Lakshmanan, R. Poorniammal and
 E.K. Naik (2018). Effect of liquid bio inoculants and inorganic source of nutrients on biomass production and quality of annual Moringa (*Moringa oleifera* L.) Var. PKM–1. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 7(6): 699-706.
- Dai, J., T. Becquer, J.H. Rouiller, G. Reversat, F. Bernhard-Reversat and P. Lavelle (2004). Influence of heavy metals on C and N mineralization and microbial biomass in Zn-, Pb-, Cu-, and Cd contaminated soils. Appli. Soil Ecology, 25: 99-109.
- Dania, S.O., P. Akpansubi and O. O. Eghagara (2014). Comparative effects of different fertilizer sources on the growth and nutrient content of Moringa (*Moringa oleifera* L.) seedling in a greenhouse Trial, Adv. Agric. Vol 2014, 6 pages.
- Darwish, S. N. A. (2015). Studies on fertilizer requirements and planting density for moringa plants in North Africa. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Dash, S. and N. Gupta (2009). Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilizer on growth of hybrid *Moringa oleifera* L. (PKM 1) Acad. J. of plant Sci. 2 (3): 220-221.
- El-Baha, A. M., A.A. Hossny, M. Z.M. Salem, H. M. Nashwa and A. S. Pousy (2016). Initial growth response and chemical composition of *Moringa oleifera* L. seedlings to differentlevels of NPK and bio fertilizer at two

different soil types. Alex. Sci. Exch. J. 37 (2).

- EL-Sayed, M. M. and M.M. Abdel Wahab (2018). Irrigation and fertilization practices for Moringa plant growth under Upper Egypt conditions. Middle East J. Appl. Sci., 8(1): 145-156.
- Fagberno, J.A., S.O. Ishunsanya and O.A.
 Onawumi (2013). Effect of Saw dust bicar and NPK 15:15:15 inorganic fertilizer on *Moringa oleifera* L. seedlings grown in an oxisol. Agrosearch., 13 (1): 57 68.
- Fahey, J.W. (2005). *Moringa oleifera*: A Review of the medical evidence for its nutritional, therapeutic and prophylactic properties, Trees Life J., 15(1): 1-15.
- Faramawy, Fatma M.K. (2014). Response of *Prosopis chilensis* L. to biofertilization under calcareous soil of RasSudr 1-vegetative growth. Ann. Agric. Sci, 59(2): 253–262.
- Ganaw, H.A.E. (2017). Effect of bio and chemical fertilization and planting media on growth and chemical composition of *Moringa oleifera* L. trees. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ; Egypt.
- Jackson, M.L. (1967). Soil Chemical Analysis Prentice. Hall of India Private Limited New Delhi.
- Matallaw, D.M. (2012). Effect of manure and NPK fertilizers on growth and leaf yield of Moringa (*Moringa oleifera* L.) in Sudan savannah of Nigeria. M.Sc.

Disseration submitted to Depart. Agronomy, Buk.pp 65-102.

- Sarwar, M., A. Ali, W. Nouman, M.I. Arshad and J.K. Patra (2017). Compost and synthetic fertilizer affect vegetative growth and antioxidant activities of *Moringa oleifera* L. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 19(5): 1293-1300.
- Soliman, Amira Sh., Ebtsam M. Morsy and O. N. Massoud (2015). Tolerance of bio-fertilized *Delonix regia* seedlings to irrigation intervals. J. Hortic. For. 7(3). 73-83.
- Verma, A. and B. Hock. (1995). Ecophysiology and application of *Arbuscular Mycorrizal fungi* in arid soil In Mycorrhiza Springer-Verlag, Berlin., 561-591-591.
- Wangner, H. and G. Michael (1971). Effect of varied nitrogen supply on the synthesis of cytokinins in root of sunflower. Biochem. Physiol. (BPP), 162: 147 – 158.
- Youssef, A.A., A.E. Edris and A.M. Gomaa (2004). A comparative study between some plant growth regulators and certain growth hormones producing microorganismson growth and essential oil composition of *Salvia officinalis* L. plants. Ann. of Agric. Sci., Cairo, 44 (1) : 299 - 311.
- Zayed, Mona S. (2012). Improvement of growth and nutritional quality of *Moringa oleifera* L. using different biofertilizers Ann. Agric. Sci., 57 (1): 53 – 62.

تأثير التسميد الكيماوى على نمو والمحتوى من حمض الاسكوربيك فى نباتات المورينجا أوليفيرا

الملخص العربى

تم فى هذه الدراسة إجراء تجربتين حقليتين على نبات المورينجا أوليفيرا بمزرعة كلية الزراعة جامعة المنوفية خلال موسمين متتالين 2016 ، 2017 وكان الهدف الرئيسى لهذه التجربة هو تقييم تأثير المعاملة بالتسميد الحيوى بثلاث سلالات من البكتريا المثبتة للأزوت الجوى وهى أزوسبيريلليم ليبوفورم ، أزوتوياكتر كروكوكم ، الباسيلس بوليمكسا والتى تم إضافتها ثلاث مرات لنمو الحشات الثلاث فى كل من موسمى التجربة. بإلاضافه إلى ذلك تم دراسة تأثير التسميد باليوريا (ن46%) بمعدلات صفر ،50، 100، 200 كجم / للفدان / موسم والتى تم توزيعها بالتساوى على ثلاث دفعات الحشات الثلاث فى كل من موسمى التجربة. وقد تم دراسة تأثير كل من نوعى الأسمدة بصورةٍ منفردةٍ أو متداخلةٍ وقد أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها أن إستعمال كل من نوعى الأسمدة بصورةٍ منفردةٍ أو متداخلةٍ وقد معنويه فى الصفات الخضريه التى تم تسجيلها مثل طول النبات ، عدد الأفرع للنبات ، الوزن الطازج للنبات / حشة مقارنة بالكنترول (بدون إضافة سماد) وذلك فى الثلاث حشات المتحصل عليها خلال عامى التجربة. وأشارت النتائج آلمارت النتائج المتحصل عليها أن إستعمال كل من نوعى الأسمده بصورة منفردةٍ أو متداخلةٍ وقد معنويه فى الصفات الخضرية التى تم تسجيلها مثل طول النبات ، عدد الأفرع للنبات ، الوزن الطازج للنبات / حشة مقارنة معنويه فى الصفات الخضرية التى تم تسجيلها مثل طول النبات ، عدد الأفرع للنبات ، الوزن الطازج النبات / مشة مقارنة ماريندرول (بدون إضافة سماد) وذلك فى الثلاث حشات المتحصل عليها خلال عامى التجربة. وأشارت النتائج آيضا أن من حمض الأسكوربيك.

الكلمات المفتاحية: المورينجا أوليفير ، أزوتوباكتر كروكوكم، اليوريا (ن46%).

أسماء السادة المحكمين

 Effect of chemical and bio fertilization on the growth and L-ascorbic acid