

## PERFORMANCE OF MAIZE CROSSES UNDER LATE PLANTING CONDITION

M. E. Ibrahim<sup>(1)</sup>, O.A.M. Ali<sup>(1)</sup>, M.A. Elbatal<sup>(2)</sup> and Rahma M. Elhelw<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Crop Sci. Dept., Faculty of Agric., Menoufia Univ., Egypt.

<sup>(2)</sup> Crop physiology Dept., Field Crop Research Institute, ARC, Egypt.

Received: Dec. 28 , 2019

Accepted: Dec. 25 , 2019

---

**ABSTRACT:** A field experiment was carried out to evaluate the performance of seven white maize hybrids under late planting condition with respect to yield and grain quality during the two successive seasons of 2017 and 2018. The experimental design was laid out using randomized complete block design with four replicates. Maize hybrids were significantly differed in all traits studied in both seasons, except grain oil percentage. The variety S.C 128 exhibited the highest days to maturity, while the lowest ones was T.W.C 321 in both seasons as compared with other tested hybrids. The variety T.W.C 321 significantly surpassed other tested hybrids in mean values of grain yield /fed and relative grain yielding ability, while T.W.C 324 and T.W.C 329 gave the lowest mean values in both seasons. Concerning grain quality, S.C 131 and S.C 2031 recorded the highest mean values of oil yield/fed, Meanwhile, T.W.C 321 and S.C 2031 surpassed the other hybrids in mean values of protein percentage and protein yield/fed in the both seasons. It could be summarized that the best hybrid was T.W.C 321 when planting maize in late sowing, where it produced grain yield more than other S.C 131, S.C 2031, S.C 10, S.C 128, T.W.C 324 and T.W.C 329 hybrids by 2.74, 3.08, 4.85, 4.89, 21.40 and 24.96%, respectively as an average of both seasons.

**Key words:** *Zea mays*, Hybrids, Yielding ability and grain quality.

---

### INTRODUCTION

Maize is one of the most important cereal crops, where it is one of the important foods, green forage and industrial crops of the world. In the developing countries, maize is a major source of income to farmers. It ranks the third cereal crop after wheat and rice. In Egypt, the local production of maize did not satisfy the local consumption (FAO, 2017). Great attention has been paid to increase maize production. The horizontal expansion is only possible through growing maize in the new reclaimed lands that requires high costs. The vertical expansion could be achieved via growing high yielding varieties that have more tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Low yield of maize is due to many constraints but among them, planting in late date was exposing plants

to high temperature during pollen fertilization and grain filling period (Ali *et al.*, 2015). Climatic change is a growing global problem challenging sustainable development and placing a constraint on producing enough food to meet increasing food requirements.

Maize genotypes are significantly differed with respect to yield and grain quality (El-Shahed *et al.*, 2013 and Koca and Canavar, 2014). Many investigators found high variation among maize varieties in their grain yield in favor of single cross (S.C) varieties as mentioned by Ali and Mohammed (2015) and Yasin (2016), Other investigators mentioned that three way crosses (T.W.C) surpassed other single ones (Abdel-Maksoud and Sarhan, 2008). Breeders of maize seek to enhance genotypes yield and adapt to climatic changes which could give high

yielding under heat stress at late planting condition.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of some single and three way crosses grown under late planting condition.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Design and experimental procedures

A field experiment was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Agricultural Research Center (A.R.C.), Giza, Egypt, during the two successive seasons of 2017 and 2018. The aim of this study was evaluation the performance of seven white maize hybrids (S.C 10, S.C 128, S.C 131, S.C 2031, T.W.C 321, T.W.C 324 and T.W.C 329) under late planting condition (mid-June) with respect to yield and grain quality. The tested hybrids were obtained annually from Agricultural Research Center, Egypt except S.C 2031 which produced by Hi-Tech Co. The experimental design was laid out using randomized complete block design with four replicates.

### Site description

Some chemical and mechanical analysis of the experimental soil (0-30 cm) were determined according to methods described by Jackson (1973) and Chapman and Pratt (1978), and data represented in Table (1). Detailed climatic data during the growing periods were obtained from Central Laboratory for Agricultural Climate as shown in Table (2).

### Crop management

The experimental site was ploughed twice, harrowed and leveled. The preceding winter crop in the two seasons was faba bean. Plot area was 12.60 m<sup>2</sup> contains six ridges of 3 m long and 70 cm apart. Calcium super phosphate (15.5 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) was applied at a rate of 150 kg/fed during soil preparation. Maize grains were planted on mid-June with spacing 25 cm between hills in both seasons. Maize plants were thinned to one plant / hill (240000 plants/fed) before the first irrigation. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied at a rate of 120 kg N/fed in the form of urea (46.5 % N) in two equal doses, the first dose was applied directly before the first irrigation and the second one was added before the second irrigation. The first irrigation was applied at 21 days after sowing (DAS) and the following irrigations were applied every 12 days interval during the two growing seasons. The other agricultural practices were applied according to the recommendations of Agriculture and Land Reclamation Ministry.

### Plant measurements

#### Yield traits:

Days to maturity, grain yield /fed and relative grain yielding ability traits were determined. Grain yield of inner two ridges in each plot were harvested and then converted to kg/fed. Relative grain yielding ability (RGYA) was calculated from the following formula:

$$RGYA = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg/fed)}}{\text{Days to maturity}} \text{ (kg grain/day)}$$

Table (1): Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental sites.

Seasons	Soil texture	Organic matter (%)	pH	Ec (ds/m)	Available nutrients (ppm)		
					N	P	K
2017	Clay loam	1.64	7.6	0.62	39	8.9	486
2018	Clay loam	1.58	7.4	0.68	42	9.2	481

***Performance of maize crosses under late planting condition***

**Table (2): Average weekly temperature during the growth period in both growing seasons.**

Season		2017			2018		
Month	Week	Temperature (C°)			Temperature (C°)		
		Max.	Min.	Mean	Max.	Min.	Mean
June	3 <sup>rd</sup> week	36.48	23.14	29.81	37.83	24.34	31.09
	4 <sup>th</sup> week	36.90	20.18	28.54	37.13	24.14	30.64
July	1 <sup>st</sup> week	38.69	24.91	31.80	33.71	23.19	28.45
	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	38.71	23.81	31.26	37.69	24.66	31.18
	3 <sup>rd</sup> week	38.30	24.88	31.59	37.86	24.51	31.19
	4 <sup>th</sup> week	37.32	24.32	30.82	38.41	24.97	31.69
August	1 <sup>st</sup> week	37.86	24.44	31.15	32.40	21.65	27.03
	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	37.34	25.99	31.67	32.58	21.60	27.09
	3 <sup>rd</sup> week	37.29	24.45	30.87	36.40	25.05	30.73
	4 <sup>th</sup> week	36.18	23.58	29.88	36.62	24.93	30.78
September	1 <sup>st</sup> week	35.54	22.40	28.97	28.21	21.89	25.05
	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	36.40	22.59	29.50	31.23	20.96	26.10
	3 <sup>rd</sup> week	35.68	22.79	29.24	34.70	23.51	29.11
	4 <sup>th</sup> week	32.35	21.09	26.72	34.83	24.08	29.46
October	1 <sup>st</sup> week	33.67	19.69	26.68	33.03	23.08	28.06

**Grain quality:**

At maturity, grain samples were dried in air-oven at 70°C to constant weight before grinding with a mill to pass through a 0.5 mm sieve. The samples were chemically analyzed to determine their contents (oil and protein) and their yields as follows:

- Protein (%): nitrogen % was determined according to AOAC (2007) and protein was calculated by multiplying the N by factor 5.75.
- Protein yield (kg/fed) was determined by multiplying grain yield/fed by protein percentage.

- Oil (%) was determined according to AOAC (2007) using soxhlet apparatus using petroleum ether as a solvent.
- Oil yield (kg/fed) was determined by multiplying grain yield/fed by oil percentage.

**Statistical analysis**

The analysis of variance was carried out according to the procedure described by Snedecor and Cochran (1980). Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) was used to compare between the treatments mean at 5% probability. Statistical analysis was done using the

**CoStat** package program, version 6.311 (Cohort software, USA).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Yield traits:

Data presented in Table (3) indicated that the differences among tested hybrids were significant for days to maturity, grain yield/fed and relative grain yielding ability in both seasons. Results revealed that days to maturity ranged from 100.75 to 107.75 in the first season and from 102.50 to 111.25 in the second

one. The variety S.C 128 exhibited the highest days to physiological maturity, while the lowest ones obtained by T.W.C 321 and T.W.C 329 in both seasons. These differences may be due to the genetic differences between the tested hybrids. In this concern, other researchers noted the variation among maize hybrids in days to maturity as mentioned by Dawadi and Sah (2012), Prasad *et al.* (2017) and Belay and Patil (2018).

Table (3): Effect of varietal differences on maturity, grain yield and relative grain yielding ability of maize during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

Hybrids	Days to maturity	Grain yield (kg/fed)	Relative grain yielding ability (kg/day)
<b>2017 season</b>			
S.C 10	106.75 a	3402.50 b	31.87 b
S.C 128	107.75 a	3367.25 b	31.24 b
S.C 131	104.75 b	3458.00 ab	33.01 b
S.C 2031	104.50 b	3443.50 ab	32.96 b
T.W.C 321	100.75 c	3564.25 a	35.37 a
T.W.C 324	102.00 c	2849.00 c	27.93 c
T.W.C 329	101.00 c	2802.25 c	27.75 c
<b>2018 season</b>			
S.C 10	110.25 b	3141.00 b	28.50 bc
S.C 128	111.25 a	3173.75 ab	28.53 bc
S.C 131	109.00 c	3219.50 ab	29.54 b
S.C 2031	107.00 d	3212.25 ab	30.02 b
T.W.C 321	102.50 f	3296.50 a	32.16 a
T.W.C 324	103.75 e	2802.25 c	27.02 cd
T.W.C 329	103.00 f	2688.25 c	26.10 d

Maize hybrids exhibited significant differences in their grain yield/fed in both seasons. The variety T.W.C 321 hybrid significantly surpassed other tested crosses without significant difference among S.C 131 and S.C 2031 in the first season and S.C 131, S.C 2031 and S.C 128 in the second one. However, the lowest values were recorded by T.W.C 329 and T.W.C 324 hybrids. Planting T.W.C 321 hybrid in late sowing produced grain yield more than S.C 131, S.C 2031, S.C 10, S.C 128, T.W.C 324 and T.W.C 329 hybrids by 2.74, 3.08, 4.85, 4.89, 21.40 and 24.96% as an average of both seasons. Differences among hybrids can be attributable to changes in heat tolerance (Table 2) and light interception and utilization. When maize hybrids more tolerance to grown under late condition (heat stress), plants could have better opportunity to produce more metabolite contents as well as increased translocation and accumulation of metabolites through grains and consequently gave the maximum values of grain yield. Many authors found significant differences among maize genotypes in grain yield/unit area in favor of T.W.C 310 (Abdel-Maksoud and Sarhan, 2008), S.C 3084 (El-Gizawy and Salem, 2010), S.C 704 (Dahmardeh, 2012), S.C 10 (El-Shahed *et al.*, 2013) and S.C 2031 (Ali and Mohammed, 2015).

It could be noticed that planting T.W.C 321 was superior to the other tested hybrids in increasing relative grain yielding ability in both seasons (Table 3). On the other hand, T.W.C 329 and T.W.C 324 hybrids recorded the lowest ones. This result could be explained on the fact that in case of variety that required low days to maturity and high grain yield produced resulted in high relative grain yielding ability.

#### **B. Grain quality:**

It is clear from the obtained data in Table (4) that there were significant differences in protein percentage as well as oil and protein yields/fed in both seasons. However, no significant differences could be detected among the tested maize hybrids in oil percentage in both seasons. The hybrid S.C 131 produced the highest values of oil yield, while T.W.C 329 hybrid recorded the lowest one. These results were true in the two seasons. However, there were no statistically significant differences between S.C 131 and each of T.W.C 321, S.C 2031, S.C 128 and S.C 10 in both seasons. It seemed that the large oil yield/fed may be resulted from the increase in grain yield / fed and oil percentage by such hybrids. In this respect, Koca and Canavar (2014) mentioned that there were differences between maize cultivars in grain oil content.

The grain protein percentage and protein yield /fed of maize hybrids are presented in Table (4). In both seasons, there were significant differences in both traits. Generally, it can be noted that the highest protein percentage and its yield were obtained by planting the variety T.W.C 321 followed by S.C 2031 without significant difference between them. On the other hand, T.W.C 324 was the inferior hybrid for protein percentage and protein yield. The superiority of these hybrids in protein percentage may be attributed that has more ability to transport enough absorbed nitrogen to grains more than that of the inferior hybrids under the late sowing condition. Concerning protein yield, the most probable explanation for this result is that well agrees with the concomitant increase in the grain yield/fed and protein percentage. The genotype differences in grain protein were previously reported in favor of NK-Arma (Koca and Canavar, 2014), T.W.C 352 (El-Shahed *et al.*, 2013) and S.C 168 (Al-Sharkawy *et al.*, 2016).

Table (4): Grain oil and protein percentages and their yields of maize hybrids in late planting during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

Hybrids	Oil %	Oil yield (kg/fed)	Protein %	Protein yield (kg/fed)
<b>2017 season</b>				
S.C 10	4.23 a	143.64 ab	10.43 ab	354.72 abc
S.C 128	4.36 a	146.30 ab	9.98 bc	335.86 c
S.C 131	4.59 a	158.95 a	10.03 bc	346.83 bc
S.C 2031	4.29 a	148.03 ab	10.77 a	371.16 ab
T.W.C 321	4.06 a	144.67 ab	10.71 a	381.39 a
T.W.C 324	4.32 a	123.48 bc	9.80 c	279.17 d
T.W.C 329	4.00 a	112.08 c	10.66 a	298.48 d
<b>2018 season</b>				
S.C 10	4.21 a	132.21 ab	10.04 ab	315.31 a
S.C 128	4.67 a	148.21 a	9.92 b	314.78 a
S.C 131	4.84 a	155.42 a	10.16 ab	327.16 a
S.C 2031	4.68 a	150.57 a	10.29 a	330.44 a
T.W.C 321	4.54 a	150.00 a	10.21 ab	336.58 a
T.W.C 324	4.60 a	128.66 ab	9.24 c	258.94 b
T.W.C 329	4.39 a	117.84 b	9.98 ab	268.23 b

**Conclusion:**

Maize hybrids were significantly differed in their yield and grain quality. It could be summarized that the best hybrid performance was the hybrid T.W.C 321 when planting maize in late sowing, where it produced grain yield more than other S.C 131, S.C 2031, S.C 10, S.C 128, T.W.C 324 and T.W.C 329 hybrids by 2.74, 3.08, 4.85, 4.89, 21.40 and 24.96% as an average of both seasons.

**REFERENCES**

Abdel-Maksoud, M.F and A.A. Sarhan (2008). Response of some maize hybrids to bio and chemical nitrogen

fertilization. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 35 (3): 497-515.

Ali, O.A.M. and A.S.H. Mohammed (2015). Performance evaluation of gated pipes technique for improving surface irrigation efficiency in maize hybrids. Agricultural Sciences, 6, 550-570; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/as.2015.65055>

Ali, S.I.; A. Jan, M. Din and M. Habibullah (2015). Yield response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids sown on various dates during kharif in Peshawar-Pakistan. J. Environ. Earth Sci. 5: 13-17.

## ***Performance of maize crosses under late planting condition***

---

- Al-Sharkawy, N.A.T., S.A.I. Ghanem, E.H.M. Fayed and M.M.A. Osman (2016). Yield and its attributes of two maize cultivars and associated weeds as affected by some weed control methods and nitrogen fertilizer levels. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 43(5): 1475-1488.
- AOAC (2007). *Official Methods of Analysis. 18<sup>th</sup> Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc.*, Gaithersburg, MD, <http://www.eoma.aocac.org/>.
- Belay, A.T. and R.H. Patil (2018). Response of maize hybrids to sowing dates in northern trazonal zone of Karnataka. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.*, 6 (1): 71-84.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt (1978). *Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Water*, Division of Agricultural Sciences, University of California.
- Dahmardeh, M. (2012). Effects of sowing date on the growth and yield of maize cultivars (*Zea mays* L.) and the growth temperature requirements. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11 (61): 12450-12453.
- Dawadi, D.R. and S.K. Sah (2012). Growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in relation to planting density and nitrogen levels during winter season in Nepal. *Tropical Agricultural Research*, 23 (3): 218-227.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F tests. *International Biometric Society Biometrics*, 11 (1): 1-42.
- El-Gizawy, N.Kh.B. and H.M. Salem (2010). Influence of nitrogen sources on yield and its components of some varieties. *World J. of Agricultural Sciences*, 6 (2): 218-223.
- El-Shahed, H.M., M.E. Saleh, S.A. Mowafy and M.M.A. Osman (2013). Effect of planting density and skipping irrigation at certain growth stages on yield potentiality of some maize hybrids. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 40 (4): 617-646.
- FAO, (2017). FAOSTAT, <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC>
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). "Soil Chemical Analysis". Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, 25-214.
- Koca, Y.O. and O. Canavar (2014). The effect of sowing date on yield and yield components and seed quality of corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Scientific Papers. Series A. Agronomy*, LVII, 227-231.
- Prasad, G., M. Chand, P. Kumar and R.S. Rinwa (2017). Performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids with respect to growth parameters and phenological stages under different sowing dates in kharif season. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 6 (10): 5079-5087.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). *Statistical Methods*, 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. Iowa, USA.
- Yasin, M.A.T. (2016). Response of two yellow maize hybrids to irrigation intervals and nitrogen fertilizer levels. *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 7(12): 1465 -1472.

## كفاءة هجن الذرة الشامية تحت ظروف الزراعة المتأخرة

محمود الدسوقي إبراهيم<sup>(١)</sup>، أسامه على محمد على<sup>(١)</sup>، مسعد عبد العاطي البطل<sup>(٢)</sup>،  
رحمة ماهر الحلو<sup>(٢)</sup>

<sup>(١)</sup> قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنوفية - مصر

<sup>(٢)</sup> قسم فسيولوجيا المحاصيل - معهد المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

### الملخص العربي

أجريت تجربة حقلية بهدف تقييم محصول وجودة حبوب بعض هجن الذرة الشامية البيضاء للنمو تحت ظروف الزراعة المتأخرة خلال موسمي الزراعة ٢٠١٧ ، ٢٠١٨م حيث استخدم تصميم القطاعات كاملة العشوائية في أربع مكررات لتنفيذ التجربة. وقد أظهرت البيانات وجود اختلافات معنوية بين الهجن المختبرة لكل الصفات المدروسة عدا نسبة الزيت بالحبوب في كلا موسمي الزراعة. حقق الهجين الفردي ١٢٨ أعلى القيم لعدد الأيام اللازمة للنضج في حين سجل الهجين الثلاثي ٣٢١ أقل القيم خلال موسمي الزراعة مقارنة ببقية الأصناف المختبرة الأخرى. أظهرت النتائج تفوق الهجين الثلاثي ٣٢١ على بقية الأصناف المختبرة لصفات كمية محصول الحبوب للقدان وقدرة المحصول النسبي بينما سجل الهجين الثلاثي ٣٢٤ ، الهجين الثلاثي ٣٢٩ أقل القيم. بالنسبة لجودة الحبوب سجل صنفى الذرة الهجين الفردي ١٣١ ، الهجين الفردي ٢٠٣١ أعلى قيم لمحصول الزيت للقدان في حين تفوق الهجين الثلاثي ٣٢١ ، الهجين الفردي ٢٠٣١ في اعطاء أعلى نسبة للبروتين بالحبوب و محصول البروتين للقدان مقارنة ببقية الأصناف المختبرة في كلا الموسمين. يمكن أن نخلص إلى أن الهجين الثلاثي ٣٢١ أعطى أعلى إنتاجاً لمحصول الحبوب تحت ظروف الزراعة المتأخرة حيث تفوق على الهجين الفردي ١٣١ ، الهجين الفردي ٢٠٣١ ، الهجين الفردي ١٠ ، الهجين الفردي ١٢٨ ، الهجين الثلاثي ٣٢٤ ، الهجين الثلاثي ٣٢٩ بنسبة ٢,٧٤ ، ٣,٠٨ ، ٤,٨٥ ، ٤,٨٩ ، ٢١,٤٠ ، ٢٤,٩٦٪ على الترتيب كمتوسط لموسمي الزراعة.

### السادة المحكمين

د. فاطمة عبد المنصف - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة

د. شعبان أحمد الشارقة - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنوفية